Modeling of the Heat Capacity of Polymers with the Variable **Connectivity Index**

Dahuan LIU and Chongli ZHONG[†]

Department of Chemical Engineering, PO Box 100, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China

(Received September 9, 2002; Accepted October 12, 2002)

ABSTRACT: Correlations for the heat capacity at constant pressure of solid and liquid polymers at room temperature were proposed based on the variable connectivity index in this work. The experimental heat capacity data of 81 solid polymers and 79 liquid polymers were used to establish the correlations, and the average absolute deviations of the heat capacity are 4.8% and 3.8%, respectively. The correlations proposed are simple, which can be used to predict the heat capacity of polymers at room temperature as long as the chemical structure of the polymer concerned is known. **KEY WORDS**

Modeling / Heat Capacity / Polymer / Connectivity Index /

The heat capacity is a macroscopic, thermodynamic quantity that is based on the molecular motion, which is one of the most important thermophysical properties of materials. It can be used to calculate other calorimetric properties, such as the enthalpy, entropy, and Gibbs free energy, which is also useful in estimating the thermal conductivity. Since most polymer manufacture and processing involve heat transfer, the knowledge of the heat capacity is very important in polymer processing operations.

A lot of experimental heat capacity data have been measured, but its accurate measurement is still difficult, and the data may show large discrepancy even for some well-characterized polymers. Wunderlich et al. analyzed all available data for molar heat capacity at constant pressure, $C_p(T)$, and determined and listed extensive tables of smoothed values of $C_p(T)$, which contributed greatly to progress in this area.¹

Theoretical models have also been proposed,¹⁻⁵ and one of the commonly used methods for the estimation of the heat capacity at constant pressure at room temperature ($C_p(298 \text{ K})$) is the group contribution methods proposed by Satoh and Shaw.² Recently, connectivity indices, the widely used structure descriptors for normal molecules, have been applied to establish predictive models for the properties of polymers, and correlations have been proposed successfully for a wide variety of properties.¹ More recently, an approach was proposed in our previous work⁶ to calculate high order connectivity indices for polymers in a consistent manner.

The previous investigations^{1, 6, 7} show that connectivity indices are quite useful in developing simple correlations for the prediction of the properties of polymer and polymer solutions from molecular structure infor-

mation. In this work, the variable connectivity index proposed by Randić⁸ is used to establish correlations for the $C_p(298 \text{ K})$ of polymers and compared with those correlations based on the traditional connectivity index.

THEORY

The Connectivity Index

Connectivity indices have been widely used as structure descriptors, which contain a large amount of information about the molecule, including the numbers of hydrogen and non-hydrogen atoms bonded to each non-hydrogen atom, the details of the electronic structure of each atom, and the molecular structural features (paths, branches, clusters, and rings).^{1,9,10} Details of their definition and the calculation method can be found elsewhere,^{9,10} and a review on the development of the connectivity index was recently published by Randić.¹¹

The general expression for the mth-order connectivity index is as follows:

$${}^{m}\chi_{t} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} (\delta_{i})_{j}^{-0.5}$$
(1)

where m is the order of the connectivity index, t denotes a contiguous path type of fragment, which is divided into paths (P), clusters (C), path/clusters (PC), and chains (cycles) (CH). n_m is the number of the relevant paths, and δ_i is the simple connectivity index, equal to the number of non-hydrogen atoms to which the ith non-hydrogen atom is bonded.

If δ_i is replaced by δ_i^v , the valence connectivity index, we can obtain the expression for the mth-order valence connectivity index, ${}^{m}\chi_{t}^{v}$ as follows:

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed (Tel: +86-10-64419862; Fax: +86-10-64436781; E-mail: zhongcl@mail.buct.edu.cn).

$${}^{m}\chi_{t}^{\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} (\delta_{i}^{\nu})_{j}^{-0.5}$$
(2)

Recently, a new kind of connectivity index has been introduced,⁸ that is the so-called variable connectivity index, which can be calculated by the following expression:

$${}^{m}\chi_{t}^{f} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} (\delta_{i}^{f})_{j}^{-0.5}$$
(3)

where the variable connectivity index, δ_i^f , is related to the simple connectivity index, δ_i , by

$$\delta_i^f = \delta_i + x_i$$

where x_i is a variable for a non-hydrogen atom, and the numerical value for the variable needs to be selected so to minimize the standard error for a regression. Therefore, x_i is a weight variable, which can increase or decrease the contribution of the atom to the property concerned. As a result, the numerical value of x_i for an atom may vary largely for different properties, and its value for the same atom in cyclic structure and acyclic structure may be different.¹² The main advantage of the variable connectivity index is that it gives flexibility to connectivity index as structure descriptor, and therefore can increase correlative accuracy with a simpler expression. However, the numerical values of x_i should be regressed by fitting experimental data, and they may change largely when another property is concerned. On the other hand, for a certain property once the numerical values of the weights are determined, the variable connectivity indices can be calculated as simple as the traditional connectivity indices. Therefore, the correlations based on the variable connectivity index have the same predictive capability as those based the traditional connectivity index.

The Existing Correlations for C_p (298 K) of Polymers Based on the Traditional Connectivity Index

Based on connectivity index, Bicerano¹ has proposed two correlations for $C_p(298 \text{ K})$ of solid and liquid polymers separately as follows:

$$C_{\rm p}^{\rm S}(298 \,\mathrm{K}) = 8.985304^{0}\chi + 20.920972^{1}\chi^{\nu} + 7.304602(N_{\rm rot} + 5N_{\rm Si})$$
(4)
$$C_{\rm p}^{\rm l}(298 \,\mathrm{K}) = 8.162601^{0}\chi + 23.215188^{0}\chi^{\nu} + 8.477370N_{\rm BBrot} + 5.350331N_{\rm SGrot}$$
(5)

where $C_p^S(298 \text{ K})$ and $C_p^l(298 \text{ K})$ are the heat capacity at constant pressure at room temperature for solid and liquid polymers, respectively. N_{BBrot} and N_{SGrot} are the rotational degrees of freedom of the backbone and side group portions of the repeat units of polymers, respectively. $N_{\rm rot}$ is the total number of rotational degrees of freedom, and $N_{\rm si}$ is the number of silicon atoms.

The heat capacity of polymers at a certain temperature can be estimated by the following two expressions:¹

$$C_{\rm p}^{\rm S}(T) = C_{\rm p}^{\rm S}(298\,{\rm K})(0.106 + 0.003\,T)$$
 (6)

and

$$C_{\rm p}^{\rm l}(T) = C_{\rm p}^{\rm l}(298\,{\rm K})(0.613+0.0013\,T)$$
 (7)

As a result, as long as the $C_p(298 \text{ K})$ is known, the $C_p(T)$ at the temperature interest can be estimated using eqs 6 and 7.

Another important heat capacity value is the change in the heat capacity at the glass transition, $\Delta C_p(T_g)$. Obviously, it can be calculated readily using eqs 6 and 7, supposed the required T_g is known for a polymer.

Development of New Correlations Based on the Variable Connectivity Index

The variable connectivity index is more flexible in describing the chemical structure of molecules, which has been successfully applied to a variety of properties of normal molecules.¹⁰ In this work, we will adopt it to model the physical properties of polymers, and correlations based on the variable connectivity index will be developed for $C_p^{S}(298 \text{ K})$ and $C_p^{I}(298 \text{ K})$ in the following section.

It should be pointed out that Bicerano¹ has tried to optimize the value of δ^{V} for each distinct electronic configuration of each atom, for each property, and thus to establish simpler correlations, and applied this method to the correlation of the van der Waals volume of polymers as an example. The basic idea of Bicerano's method is the same as that the variable connectivity index method proposed by Randić.⁸

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Correlation of C_p^S (298K) for Solid Polymers

The experimental data of $C_p^S(298 \text{ K})$ for solid polymers collected by Birecano¹ from the literature were used as the database to establish the new correlation for $C_p^S(298 \text{ K})$. The experimental data and the variable connectivity index used are shown in Table I. Based on these data, the following correlation was obtained:

$$C_{\rm p}^{\rm S}(298\,{\rm K}) = 52.287 \,{}^{0}\chi^{f} - 3.9356$$
 (8)

The optimal weights for the non-hydrogen atoms in the calculation of ${}^{0}\chi^{f}$ were obtained by fitting the data,

			C ^S _P (298 К	.)
Polymer	${}^0\chi^f$		(J mol ⁻¹ K	-1)
	,.	exp.	this work	Bicerano
Poly(<i>p</i> -phenylene)	1.7171	84.5	85.8	86.4
Poly(oxy(<i>p</i> -phenylene))	1.9691	108.5	99.0	103.4
Poly(thio(<i>p</i> -phenylene))	2.4314	110.2	123.2	120.5
Poly(<i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoate)	2.6404	121.8	134.1	134.4
Polystyrene	2.5904	126.5	131.5	133.5
Poly(<i>p</i> -xylylene)	2.6188	134.7	133.0	133.8
Poly(<i>p</i> -chloro styrene)	2.9673	141.1	151.2	151.3
Poly(<i>p</i> -bromo styrene)	2.8936	144.9	147.4	160
Poly(α -methyl styrene)	3.0646	149.8	156.3	156.5
Poly(<i>p</i> -methyl styrene)	3.084	150.0	157.3	157.2
Poly(N-vinyl pyrrolidone)	2.8099	158.9	143.0	156.5
Poly(vinyl benzoate)	3.5138	162.4	179.8	181.3
Polyoxynaphthoate	3.7775	180.6	193.6	186.8
poly(α -vinyl naphthalene)	3.7275	192.9	191.0	186.1
Poly(vinyl butyral)	4.0522	204.6	207.9	208.8
Poly(vinyl <i>p</i> -ethylbenzoate)	4.4582	217.6	229.2	230.4
Poly(vinyl <i>p</i> -isopropylbenzoate)	4.9234	235.4	253.5	253.5
Poly(<i>N</i> -vinyl carbazole)	4.8352	245.8	248.9	223.8
Bisphenol-A polycarbonate	5.9998	304.9	309.8	308.3
Poly(ether ether ketone) (PEEK)	6.3266	319.7	326.9	324.2
Poly(N,N'-(p,p'-oxydiphenylene)pyromellitimide)	8.6143	420.6	446.5	393.1
Udel	9.7859	491.2	507.7	498.0
Ultem	13.691	720.5	711.9	653.5
Polyethylene	0.9017	43.4	43.2	48.2
Polypropylene	1.3669	67.8	67.5	71.6
Poly(1,4-butadiene)	1.8033	88.0	90.4	81.8
Polyisobutylene	1.8411	94.0	92.3	93.7
Polyisoprene	2.2686	108.0	114.7	105.4
Poly(4-methyl-1-pentene)	2.7338	144.5	139.0	143.2
Polyoxymethylene	0.7028	38.3	32.8	39.4
Polyoxyethylene	1.1536	55.1	56.4	63.5
Poly(vinyl chloride)	1.2502	59.0	61.4	65.9
Poly(vinyl fluoride)	1.1411	59.5	55.7	56.8
Poly(glycolic acid)	1.3742	65.1	67.9	70.8
Polyacrylonitrile	1.6645	68.4	83.1	69.3
Polyoxytrimethylene	1.6045	79.3	80.0	87.6
Poly(vinylidene chloride)	1.6077	80.9	80.1	81.9
Polytrifluoroethylene	1.629	87.7	81.2	75.8
Polytetrafluoroethylene	1.8774	89.8	94.2	85.8
Polychlorotrifluoroethylene	1.9865	99.6	99.9	93.7
Poly(vinyl acetate)	2.2903	101.2	115.8	118.6
Poly(methylacrylate)	2.2903	115.0	115.8	117.8
Poly(α -methyl acrylamide)	2.3592	118.1	119.4	120.3
Poly(menthyl methacrylate)	2.7645	137.0	140.6	140.7
Poly(ε -caprolactone)	3.1775	161.6	162.2	167.2
Poly(ethyl methacrylate)	3.2153	166.5	164.2	166.7
Poly(<i>\varepsilon</i> -caprolactam)	3.2574	168.9	166.4	169.6
Poly(<i>n</i> -butyl acrylate)	3.6428	210.0	186.5	192
Poly(<i>n</i> -butyl methacrylate)	4.117	235.9	211.3	214.9
Poly(vinyl alcohol)	1.1222	67.4	54.7	64.9
Poly(methacrylic acid)	2.2678	111.9	114.6	118.9
Poly(propylene sulfone)	2.3902	123.3	121.0	119.7
Poly(ethylene oxalate)	2.7484	129.1	139.8	142.4
Poly(1-butene sulfone)	2.3869	146.8	120.9	144.7

Table I. Calculated results for C_p^S (298 K) of solid polymers and the variable connectivity indices used

Poly(oxy(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene))	2.9563	149.0	150.6	151.1
Poly(oxy(2,6-dimethyl-5-bromo-1,4-phenylene)	3.2594	169.0	166.5	177.8
Poly(vinyl trimethylsilane)	3.2409	179.1	165.5	177.8
Poly(dimethyl itaconate)	4.1387	207.6	212.5	210.9
Poly(1-hexene sulfone)	3.7427	209.5	191.8	192.9
Poly(isobutyl methacrylate)	4.1314	222.1	212.1	213.4
Poly(ethylene terephthalate)	4.4655	223.9	229.6	228.9
Poly(vinyl dimethylphenylsilane)	4.4644	231.0	229.5	240.6
Poly(<i>p</i> -methacryloxy benzoic acid)	4.9083	260.2	252.7	253.3
Poly(tetramethylene terephthalate)	5.3671	267.9	276.7	277.1
Poly(ethylene-2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylate)	5.6025	268.5	289.0	281.3
Poly(<i>m</i> -phenylene terephthalamide)	5.4407	271.2	280.5	272.6
Poly(vinyl <i>p-t</i> -butylbenzoate)	5.3976	271.6	278.3	275.5
Poly(<i>p</i> -phenylene isophthalamide)	5.4407	272.3	280.5	272.6
Poly(oxy(2,6-diphenyl-1,4-phenylene))	5.4033	272.8	278.6	276.5
Poly(<i>m</i> -phenylene isophthalamide)	5.4407	280.2	280.5	272.6
Poly(o-phenylene terephthalamide)	5.4407	286.2	280.5	272.7
Poly(o-phenylene isophthalamide)	5.4407	291.4	280.5	272.7
Poly(1,4-cyclohexylidene dimethylene terephthalate)	6.1826	312.3	319.3	355.6
Poly(11-aminoundecanoic acid)	5.5116	318.3	284.3	290.2
Poly(di(<i>n</i> -propyl) itaconate)	5.942	328.1	306.8	311.1
Poly(hexamethylene adipamide)	6.5148	329.2	336.7	339.1
Poly(12-aminododecanoic acid)	5.9624	331.5	307.8	314.3
Poly(hexamethylene isophthalamide)	6.4286	344.6	332.2	330
Poly(hecamethylene azelamide)	7.8673	405.7	407.4	411.5
Poly(hexamethylene sebacamide)	8.3182	439.0	431.0	435.6
Nylon-6,12	9.2198	491.0	478.1	483.8
overall error*			4.8	5.0

$$*\frac{1}{N}\sum \left|\frac{C_{\text{pi}}^{\text{exp.}}-C_{\text{pi}}^{\text{cal.}}}{C_{\text{pi}}^{\text{exp.}}}\right| \times 100.$$

which are $x_{\rm C} = 2.92$, $x_{\rm N} = 7.08$, $x_{\rm S} = -0.04$, $x_{\rm O} = 13.75$, $x_{\rm Cl} = 5.63$, $x_{\rm Br} = 9.10$, $x_{\rm F} = 11.82$, and $x_{\rm Si} = -2.66$ for the atoms of carbon, nitrogen, sulphur, oxygen, chlorine, bromine, fluorine, and silicon in acyclic structure, respectively. And the optimal weights for the atoms of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen in cyclic structure are $x_{\rm CC} = 9.89$, $x_{\rm CN} = 0.44$, and $x_{\rm CO} = 1.50$, respectively.

The calculated results with eq 8 and the optimal weights obtained are listed in Table I and depicted in Figure 1. The calculated results of Bicerano are also shown in Table I for comparison. Obviously, the new model shows comparable accuracy with eq 4, however, the new correlation is more simple, which does not require atomic correction term as well as the $N_{\rm rot}$ term. By introducing variable weights into the non-hydrogen atoms, the contribution of a certain atom to a property can be increased or decreased, which makes the traditional "fixed-value" connectivity index more flexible, and leads to better correlative accuracy and simpler expression. Once the weights are obtained, the correlations based on the variable connectivity index have the same predictive capability as those based on the traditional connectivity index.



Figure 1. Calculated *vs.* experimental heat capacity at constant pressure at room temperature for solid polymers.

Correlation of C_p^l (298 K) for Liquid Polymers

Based on the experimental $C_p^1(298 \text{ K})$ data¹ of liquid polymers shown in Table II, the following correlation was proposed:

$$C_{\rm p}^{\rm l}(298\,{\rm K}) = 52.981 \,{}^{1}\chi^{f} + 5.1689$$
 (9)

			$C_{\rm P}^{\rm l}(298{\rm K})$)	
Polymer	$^{1}\chi^{f}$		$(J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1})$		
		exp.	this work	Bicerano	
Polyoxymethylene	0.9891	57.6	57.6	54.4	
Polyethylene	1.0811	61.6	62.4	61.3	
Poly(vinyl fluoride)	1.2591	72.7	71.9	74.2	
Poly(vinylidene fluoride)	1.4172	82.9	80.3	88.7	
Polyoxyethylene	1.5297	87.8	86.2	85.1	
Polypropylene	1.5135	88.1	85.4	94.0	
Polytrifluoroethylene	1.6596	93.1	93.1	101.6	
Polytetrafluoroethylene	1.8550	102.6	103.4	116.1	
Poly(1,4-butadiene)	2.1622	105.7	119.7	108.2	
Polyisobutylene	1.8550	109.6	103.4	128.3	
Poly(propylene oxide)	1.9710	110.9	109.6	117.7	
Poly(glycolic acid)	1.8670	112.2	104.1	96.8	
Poly(1-butene)	2.1040	116.7	116.6	121.5	
Poly(dimethyl siloxane)	2.1272	117.8	117.9	121.3	
Polyoxytrimethylene	2.0702	119.2	114.9	115.7	
Polyisoprene	2.5946	129.8	142.6	142.0	
Poly(vinylidene chloride)	2.3610	130.0	130.3	123.8	
$Poly(\beta$ -propiolactone)	2.0091	135.1	111.6	127.5	
Poly(oxymethyleneoxyethylene)	2.5188	135.9	138.6	139.4	
Poly(oxy(<i>p</i> -phenylene)	2.6020	141.6	143.0	141.5	
Polyoxytetramethylene	2.6107	142.8	143.5	146.4	
Poly(1-pentene)	2.6445	143.8	145.3	149.1	
Poly(methyl acrylate)	2.8885	151.7	158.2	153.9	
$Poly(\gamma-butyrolactone)$	2.9481	155.7	161.4	158.2	
Poly(vinyl acetate)	2.8489	156.3	156.1	153.9	
Poly(thio(<i>p</i> -phenylene))	2.8714	157.2	157.3	160.5	
Poly(2-methyl-1.4-pentadiene)	3.1351	157.5	171.3	172.7	
Poly(oxy(diethylsilylene))	3.2991	165.0	180.0	176.4	
Polystvrene	3.1874	174.2	174.0	174.8	
Poly(1-hexene)	3.1850	178.0	173.9	176.6	
Poly(ethyl acrylate)	3.4509	178.5	188.0	181.4	
$Poly(\delta$ -valerolactone)	3.4886	183.2	190.0	188.8	
Poly(methyl methacrylate)	3.2707	183.7	178.5	188.2	
Poly(oxymethyleneoxytetramethylene)	3.5999	194.2	195.9	200.8	
Poly(<i>p</i> -chloro styrene)	3.8956	195.3	211.6	206.4	
Poly(<i>p</i> -methyl styrene)	3.6423	197.1	198.1	208.7	
Poly(<i>p</i> -bromo styrene)	3.6297	197.5	197.5	225.7	
Poly(ethyl methacrylate)	3.5761	203.3	194.6	215.7	
$Poly(\varepsilon-caprolactone)$	4.0292	206.5	218.6	219.5	
Poly(oxy(2.6-dimethyl-1.4-phenylene))	3.5256	208.7	192.0	209.3	
Poly(isobutyl acrylate)	4.2338	231.5	229.5	241.6	
Poly(<i>n</i> -butyl acrylate)	4 5319	231.5	245.3	236.5	
Polyoxynaphthoate	4 9697	239.8	268.5	255.0	
Poly(viny) benzoate)	4 5228	239.0	200.5	235.0	
Poly(<i>n</i> -butyl methacrylate)	4 6572	213.1	251.9	270.8	
Poly(s-caprolactam)	4 3284	262.5	234.5	270.0	
Poly(isobutyl methacrylate)	4 7561	200.5	257.5	275.9	
Poly(vinyl <i>n</i> -ethylhenzoate)	5 5968	275.5	301 7	275.9	
Poly(dimethyl itaconate)	5 1946	281.6	280.4	275.6	
Poly(ethylene_n_(R_trimethylsilyl athyl)imina)	5 0831	201.0 286.4	200.4	275.0	
Poly(trimethylene succinate)	5 3557	200.4 288 0	214.5	271.2	
Poly(viny) n-isonrony(henzosta)	6.0114	200.0	200.9 272 7	205.0	
Poly(ethylene terenthalate)	5 9736	309.4	310.0	311 5	
Poly(<i>n</i> -heyyl methodraylate)	5.9230 5.1070	321.2	219.0	275.0	
i ory(<i>n</i> -nexyr methacrylate)	5.19/8	324.0	200.0	323.9	

Table II. Calculated results for $C_p^l(298 \text{ K})$ of liquid polymers and the variable connectivity indices used

Poly(vinylene diphenylsilylene)	6.0763	330.4	327.1	306.1	
Poly(oxy(2,6-diphenyl-1,4-phenylene)	6.9676	337.2	374.3	370.9	
Poly(trimethylene adipate)	6.4367	343.7	346.2	347.0	
Poly(vinyl <i>p-t</i> -butylbenzoate)	6.3426	347.4	341.2	363.1	
Poly(tetramethylene terephthalate)	7.0047	354.5	376.3	372.8	
Polyundecanolactone	6.7319	360.7	361.8	372.8	
Poly(11-aminoundecanoic acid)	7.0311	376.7	377.7	374.9	
Poly(di(<i>n</i> -propyl) itaconate)	7.4005	388.8	397.3	385.8	
Poly(ethylene-2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylate)	7.3953	390.4	397.0	382.5	
Poly(tetramethylene adipate)	6.9773	394.0	374.8	377.6	
Poly(12-aminododecanoic acid)	7.5716	406.4	406.3	405.6	
Bisphenol-A polycarbonate	7.4787	410.8	401.4	423.1	
Polytridecanolactone	7.8130	433.9	419.1	434.1	
Poly(ethylene sebacate)	8.0584	438.3	432.1	439.0	
Poly(ether ether ketone)	8.2626	455.9	442.9	443.3	
Poly(hexamethylene adipamide)	8.6567	490.7	463.8	443.2	
Polypentadecanolactone	8.8940	505.1	476.4	495.5	
Poly(dodecyl methyacrylate)	9.2385	511.5	494.6	491.1	
Poly(hexamethylene sebacate)	10.2205	534.3	546.7	561.6	
Poly(hexamethylene azelamide)	10.2783	545.8	549.7	535.2	
Poly(hexamethylene sebacamide)	10.8189	575.5	578.4	565.9	
Nylon-6,12	11.8999	634.8	635.6	627.2	
Poly(dicyclooctyl itaconate)	9.8586	663.6	527.5	602.5	
Poly(octadecyl methacrylate)	12.4817	677.8	666.5	656.3	
Udel	12.2628	678.1	654.9	678.4	
Overall error			3.8	4.3	-

The optimal weights for the non-hydrogen atoms in the calculation of ${}^{1}\chi^{f}$ were obtained by fitting the data, which are $x_{\rm C} = -0.15$, $x_{\rm N} = -0.76$, $x_{\rm S} = -0.86$, $x_{\rm O} =$ 0.21, $x_{\rm Cl} = -0.60$, $x_{\rm Br} = -0.11$, $x_{\rm F} = 1.33$, and $x_{\rm Si} =$ -1.27 for the atoms of carbon, nitrogen, sulphur, oxygen, chlorine, bromine, fluorine, and silicon in acyclic structure, respectively. And the optimal weight for carbon atom in cyclic structure is $x_{\rm CC} = 0.84$.

The calculated results and those from eq 5 are shown in Table II and depicted in Figure 2. Similar to that for solid polymers, the new correlation gives comparable accuracy with eq 5 based on the traditional connectivity index, which again illustrates that the utilization of the variable connectivity index can improve correlations either by giving higher accuracy or a simpler expression.

Prediction of the Change in the Heat Capacity at the Glass Transition

The change in the heat capacity at the glass transition, $\Delta C_p(T_g)$, is an important property, it can be calculated readily using eqs 6 and 7 combined with the correlations for $C_p^S(298 \text{ K})$ and $C_p^l(298 \text{ K})$ proposed. However, the calculation requires the glass transition temperature values. The experimental $\Delta C_p(T_g)$ and the corresponding T_g data, as shown in Table III, were taken from the book of Bicerano,¹ they were employed to test the predictive accuracy of the proposed correlations combined with eqs 6 and 7 for $\Delta C_p(T_g)$. The



Figure 2. Calculated *vs.* experimental heat capacity at constant pressure at room temperature for liquid polymers.

predictive results are shown in Table III and depicted in Figure 3. Obviously, the deviation is large, this is expectable because the extrapolation of eqs 6 and 7 to T_g may introduce large error, and the T_g value is not only polymer-dependent but also depends largely on the detailed structure of the polymer concerned. In addition, the experimental data of $\Delta C_p(T_g)$ may also have large uncertainty.

D. LIU and C. ZHONG

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
(K)exp.predictedPolyethylene19510.524.2Polypropylene23319.223.9Poly(vinyl chloride)34819.436.9Poly(vinylidene fluoride)23321.218.2
Polyethylene 195 10.5 24.2 Polypropylene 233 19.2 23.9 Poly(vinyl chloride) 348 19.4 36.9 Poly(vinylidene fluoride) 233 21.2 18.2
Polypropylene 233 19.2 23.9 Poly(vinyl chloride) 348 19.4 36.9 Poly(vinylidene fluoride) 233 21.2 18.2
Poly(vinyl chloride) 348 19.4 36.9 Poly(vinylidene fluoride) 233 21.2 18.2
Poly(vinylidene fluoride)23321.218.2
Polyisobutylene 199 21.3 25.2
Poly(oxy(<i>p</i> -phenylene)) 358 21.4 37.4
Poly(1-butene) 228 23.1 34.1
Poly(1-hexene) 223 25.1 49.9
Poly(α -methyl styrene) 409 26.3 18.9
Poly(1-pentene) 223 27.0 42.3
Poly(1,4-butadiene) 171 27.2 44.0
Poly(dimethyl siloxane) 152 27.7 35.3
Polyoxymethylene 218 28.2 26.7
Poly(oxy(diethylsilylene)) 130 29.2 64.2
Poly(thio(<i>p</i> -phenylene)) 360 29.2 23.9
Poly(<i>n</i> -butyl methacrylate) $293 29.7 55.7$
Polystyrene 373 30.8 29.9
Polvisoprene 203 30.9 43.0
Poly(p -chloro styrene) 389 31.1 44.2
Poly(ethyl methacrylate) 324 31.7 24.2
Poly(oxy(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene)) 482 31.9 25.7
Poly(<i>p</i> -bromo styrene) 417 31.9 28.1
Poly(methyl methacrylate) 378 32.7 22.8
Poly(propylene oxide) 206 33.2 38.1
Poly(p -fluoro styrene) 379 33.3 25.4
Poly(4-methyl-1-pentene) 302 33.7 25.8
Poly(n -hydroxybenzoate) 420 34.0 41.0
Poly(p -methyl styrene) 374 34.6 24.6
Poly(isobutyl acrylate) 249 36.6 55.2
Poly(p -xylvlene) 333 37.6 36.1
Polyoxyethylene 206 38.2 35.1
Poly(isobutyl methacrylate) 321 39.0 38.3
Poly(methyl acrylate) 281 42.3 44.9
Poly(<i>n</i> -butyl acrylate) $219 + 45.4 = 77.9$
Poly(et duy) acrylate) 251 45.6 56.8
Polyoxytrimethylene 195 46.6 44.3
Poly(vinyl acetate) 301 46.7 39.9
Bisphenol-A polycarbonate 423 48.8 40.8
Polyoxytetramethylene 190 52.0 53.4
Poly(ε -caprolactone) 213 59.5 73.7
Poly(12-aminododecanoicacid) 310 74.3 93.9
Poly(11-aminoundecanoic acid) 315 68.4 87.5
Poly(26 -diphenyl-1,4-phenylene)) 493 76.6 27.8
Poly(ethylene terephthalate) 345 77.8 76.8
Poly(oxy-1 4-nhenylene-oxy-1 4-nhenylene-carbonyl-1 4-nhenylene) 419 78 1 67 2
Poly(ethylene-2 6-naphthalenedicarboxylate) 397 81.6 73.4
Poly(ϵ -caprolactam) 335 93.6 61.0
Polyovyoctamethylene 203 95.7 84.8
Poly(hexamethylene sebacamide) 313 118 0 139 5
Poly(tetramethylene adinate) 205 140.0 126.9
Poly(hexamethylene adipamide) 200 140.0 1120.9
Poly(ethylene sebacate) 243 154 0 127 2
Overall error 32.2

Table III. Predicted results for $\Delta C_p(T_g)$ of polymers



Figure 3. Predicted *vs.* experimental the change in the heat capacity at the glass transition.

CONCLUSION

Two correlations based on the variable connectivity index were proposed for the heat capacity at constant pressure for solid and liquid polymers, respectively. The correlations are simple with comparable correlative accuracy to the existing ones. The present work shows that the variable connectivity index has more flexibility in characterizing polymers, which can lead to simpler correlations with better correlative accuracy.

Acknowledgment. The financial support of the Ministry of Education of China (Contract: 99013) is greatly appreciated. And the authors are also grateful for the valuable comments of Dr. J. Bicerano of the Dow Chemical Company on the manuscript.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$C_{\mathrm{p}}(T),$	Heat capacity at constant pressure $[J \text{ mol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}]$		
<i>C</i> _p (298 К),	Heat capacity at constant pressure at room temperature $[J mol^{-1}K^{-1}]$		
$\Delta C_{\rm p}(T_{\rm g}),$	The change in the heat capacity at the glass transition $[J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}]$		
Ν,	The number of data points		
$N_{\rm BBrot}$,	The rotational degrees of freedom of the		
	backbone portion of the repeat units of polymer		
$N_{\rm rot}$,	The total number of rotational degrees of		
	freedom		

$N_{ m SGrot}$,	The rotational degrees of freedom of the side group portion of the repeat units of polymer
n_m ,	The number of the relevant paths
N _{si} ,	The number of silicon atoms
Τ,	Temperature [K]
$T_{\rm g},$	Glass transition temperature of polymers
-	[K]
x_{i} ,	The weight to a non-hydrogen atom
Greek letters	
$^{m}\chi_{t},$	mth-order connectivity index
$^{m}\chi_{t}{}^{f},$	mth-order variable connectivity index
${}^{m}\chi_{t}{}^{\nu},$	mth-order valence connectivity index
δ,	The simple connectivity index
δ^{v} ,	The valence connectivity index
$\delta^{\mathrm{f}},$	The variable connectivity index
Subscripts	
i,	Atom i
t,	A contiguous path type of fragment
Superscripts	

superscripts

cal.,	Calculated value
exp.,	Experimental value
1,	Liquid
S,	Solid
з,	Solid

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Bicerano, "Prediction of Polymer Properties", 3rd ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, N.Y., 2002.
- D. W. Van Krevelen, "Properties of Polymers. Their Correlation with Chemical Structure, Their Numerical Estimation and Prediction from Additive Group Contributions", 3rd ed., Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1990.
- C. R. Novenario, J. M. Caruthers, and K.-C. Chao, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 67, 841 (1998).
- F. Wang, S. Saeki, and T. Yamaguchi, *Polymer*, **39**, 5139 (1998).
- 5. M. Pyda, *Macromolecules*, **35**, 4009 (2002).
- C. Zhong and C. Yang, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys. Ed., 40, 401 (2002).
- C. Zhong, Q. Li, and C. Yang, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 41, 2826 (2002).
- 8. M. Randić, J. Comput. Chem., 12, 970 (1991).
- L. B. Kier and L. H. Hall, "Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug Research", Academic Press, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1976.
- L. B. Kier and L. H. Hall, "Molecular Connectivity in Structure-Activity Analysis", John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986.
- 11. M. Randić, J. Mol. Graphics Modell, 20, 19 (2001).
- M. Randić, D. Plavsic, and N. Lers, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., 41, 657 (2001).